

07-06-04

LAW OFFICES OF

AF 2172

i 20

ROYAL W. CRAIG

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

10 NORTH CALVERT STREET
SUITE 153
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202
TEL 410. 385. 2383
FAX 410. 385. 2385
WEBSITE: www. patent- law. org

HUNT VALLEY OFFICE:
TEL/ FAX 410. 785. 1816

RECEIVED

JUL 15 2004

EV534880320US Technology Center 2100

TRANSMITTAL

VIA EXPRESS MAIL:

To The Honorable Commissioner
of Patents and Trademarks
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: U.S. Patent Application 09/775,986 for "ACUMATCH™ CROSS-MATCHING SYSTEM"; Filed: February 2, 2001; Inventors: Blank, Arthur & Schiedel Len;
Art Unit: 2172; Examiner: Chen Chongshan.

Dear Sir:

LAW
BUSINESS
TECHNOLOGY In response to the Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192 (c) mailed June 2,
2004 enclosed, please find the following:

1. One (1) Appeal Brief from the final Rejection of claims 1-4, 7-12 and 15-19 with APPENDIX A (clean copy of the claims under appeal) and three (3) copies of Appeal Brief.
2. Our post card. Please date stamp and return.

Please charge any additional or unanticipated fees to our Deposit Account 03-3565 (a duplicate copy of this charge authorization is attached.) Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

Royal W. Craig
Reg. 34,145

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 2, 2004, one copy of the above-referenced documents were deposited with the United States Postal Service for delivery by Express Mail to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.



In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

In re Application of:

RECEIVED

JUL 15 2004

BLANK, Arthur

Art Unit: 2172

Technology Center 2100

Serial No.: 09/775,986

Examiner: Chen, Chongshan

Filed: February 2, 2001

Invention: ACUMATCH CROSS-MATCHING SYSTEM

* * * * *

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The enclosed complete new appeal brief is filed, in triplicate, in response to the office action of June 2, 2004, the time to respond being until July 2, 2004. The original appeal brief is corrected herein to clarify that the "redlined" versions of the claim amendments filed in the July 14, 2003, were the amendments intended by the Appellant. The claims now pending and under appeal include those "redlined" versions. Note that this clarification is reflected in the "STATUS OF AMENDMENTS" paragraph as well as in the corrected Appendix A.

Respectfully submitted,


Royal W. Craig
Attorney for Appellant
Reg. No. 34,145